arXiv:1403.6627v1 [math.GR] 26 Mar 2014

AN INTERSECTION FUNCTIONAL ON THE SPACE OF SUBSET CURRENTS ON A FREE GROUP

DOUNNU SASAKI

ABSTRACT. Kapovich and Nagnibeda introduced the space $\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N)$ of subset currents on a free group F_N of rank $N \geq 2$, which can be thought of as a measure-theoretic completion of the set of all conjugacy classes of finitely generated subgroups of F_N. We define a product $\mathcal{N}(H, K)$ of two finitely generated subgroups H and K of F_N by the sum of the reduced rank $\overline{\mathrm{rk}}(H \cap gKg^{-1})$ over all double cosets HgK ($g \in F_N$), and extend the product $\mathcal{N}$ to a continuous symmetric $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$-bilinear functional $\mathcal{N} \colon \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N) \times \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N) \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. We also give an answer to a question presented by Kapovich and Nagnibeda. The definition of $\mathcal{N}$ originates in the Strengthened Hanna Neumann Conjecture, which has been proven by Mineyev and can be stated as follows: $\mathcal{N}(H, K) \leq \overline{\mathrm{rk}}(H)\overline{\mathrm{rk}}(K)$ holds for any finitely generated subgroups H and K of F_N. As a corollary to our theorem, this inequality is generalized to the inequality for subset currents.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction 1
2. Preliminaries 4
2.1. Conventions regarding graphs and free groups 4
2.2. The space of subset currents on F_N 5
2.3. R_N-graphs and occurrences 7
2.4. The reduced rank functional $\overline{\mathrm{rk}}$ 9
3. The intersection functional $\mathcal{N}$ 10
4. The intersection map and the intersection functional 18
Appendix A. 23
References 25

1. INTRODUCTION

In [KN13] Kapovich and Nagnibeda introduced the space $\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N)$ of *subset currents* on a free group F_N of rank $N \geq 2$ as an analogy of the space of geodesic currents on F_N (see [Kap06]). A subset current on F_N is a positive F_N-invariant locally finite Borel measure on the space $\mathfrak{C}_N$ of all closed subsets of the hyperbolic boundary ∂F_N consisting of at least two points, where we endow $\mathfrak{C}_N$ with the subspace topology of the Vietoris topology on the hyperspace of ∂F_N. The space $\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N)$ is equipped with the weak-* topology and with the $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$-linear structure.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20F99, Secondary 20E05.

Key words and phrases. free group; subset current; geodesic current; Strengthened Hanna Neumann Conjecture; reduced rank.

Kapovich and Nagnibeda defined the *counting subset current* $\eta_H \in \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N)$ for a nontrivial finitely generated subgroup $H \leq F_N$ and proved that

$$\{c\eta_H \mid c \geq 0, H \text{ is a nontirivial finitely generated subgroup of } F_N\}$$

is a dense subset of $\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N)$. Counting subset currents have the following properties. For a nontrivial finitely generated subgroup $H \leq F_N$ and a finite index subgroup H' of H, we have $\eta_{H'} = [H : H']\eta_H$. If two nontrivial finitely generated subgroups $H, H' \leq F_N$ are conjugate, then $\eta_H = \eta_{H'}$. For the trivial subgroup $H = \{\mathrm{id}\}$ of F_N we set $\eta_H = 0 \in \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N)$.

For a finitely generated free group F the *reduced rank* $\overline{\mathrm{rk}}(F)$ is defined as

$$\overline{\mathrm{rk}}(F) := \max\{\mathrm{rank}(F) - 1, 0\},$$

where $\mathrm{rank}(F)$ is the cardinality of a free basis of F. Let Δ be a finite connected graph whose fundamental group is isomorphic to F. Then we have

$$\overline{\mathrm{rk}}(F) = \max\{-\chi(\Delta), 0\},$$

where $\chi(\Delta)$ is the Euler characteristic of Δ. Note that for a finite index subgroup $H \leq F$ we can obtain an equation

$$\overline{\mathrm{rk}}(H) = [F : H]\,\overline{\mathrm{rk}}(F),$$

which follows from a covering space argument. Kapovich and Nagnibeda [KN13] proved that there exists a unique continuous $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$-linear functional

$$\overline{\mathrm{rk}}\colon \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N) \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$$

such that for every finitely generated subgroup $H \leq F_N$ we have

$$\overline{\mathrm{rk}}(\eta_H) = \overline{\mathrm{rk}}(H).$$

The map $\overline{\mathrm{rk}}$ is called the *reduced rank functional*.

The action of the automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}(F_N)$ of F_N on ∂F_N induces a continuous and $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$-linear action on $\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N)$, and for $\varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}(F_N)$ and a finitely generated subgroup $H \leq F_N$ we have $\varphi\eta_H = \eta_{\varphi(H)}$. Since subset currents are F_N-invariant, the action of $\mathrm{Aut}(F_N)$ factors through the action of the outer automorphism group $\mathrm{Out}(F_N)$ on $\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N)$. We see that $\overline{\mathrm{rk}}$ is $\mathrm{Out}(F_N)$-invariant.

Define a product $\mathcal{N}(H, K)$ of two finitely generated subgroups $H, K \leq F_N$ as

$$\mathcal{N}(H, K) := \sum_{HgK \in H\backslash F_N/K} \overline{\mathrm{rk}}(H \cap gKg^{-1}),$$

where $H\backslash F_N/K$ is the set of all double cosets HgK ($g \in F_N$). Note that $H \cap gKg^{-1} \neq \{\mathrm{id}\}$ for only finitely many double cosets HgK. This definition originates in the Strengthened Hanna Neumann Conjecture, which has been proven by Mineyev [Min12]. By using the product $\mathcal{N}$ it can be stated as follows:

$$\mathcal{N}(H, K) \leq \overline{\mathrm{rk}}(H)\overline{\mathrm{rk}}(K)$$

is satisfied for any finitely generated subgroups $H, K \leq F_N$. The product $\mathcal{N}(H, K)$ is closely related to a *fiber product graph* corresponding to H, K, that is, each nonzero term of the sum in $\mathcal{N}(H, K)$ is corresponding to a non-contractible connected component of the fiber product graph (see Section 3). Using the description by the fiber product graph, we can easily see that $\mathcal{N}$ has the following property: if H' and K' are finite index subgroups of H and K respectively, then we have

$$\mathcal{N}(H', K') = [H : H'][K : K']\mathcal{N}(H, K).$$

Therefore it is natural to ask whether $\mathcal{N}$ extends to a continuous $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$-bilinear functional on $\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N)$.

From the $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$-linearity of the reduced rank functional, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{N}(H,K) &= \sum_{HgK \in H\backslash F_N/K} \overline{\mathrm{rk}}(H \cap gKg^{-1}) \\ &= \overline{\mathrm{rk}} \left(\sum_{HgK \in H\backslash F_N/K} \eta_{H\cap gKg^{-1}} \right).\end{aligned}$$

Kapovich and Nagnibeda [KN13] asked whether there exists a continuous $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$-bilinear map

$$\pitchfork \colon \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N) \times \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N) \to \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N)$$

such that for any finitely generated subgroups $H, K \leq F_N$ we have

$$\pitchfork (\eta_H, \eta_K) = \sum_{HgK \in H\backslash F_N/K} \eta_{H\cap gKg^{-1}}.$$

If such a map $\pitchfork$ exists, then we immediately see that the product $\mathcal{N}$ is extended to a continuous $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$-bilinear functional $\mathcal{N} \colon \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N) \times \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N) \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. Moreover, the map $\pitchfork$ can be considered as a measure theoretical generalization of the fiber product graph. However, we prove that the map $\pitchfork$ can not be continuous due to the requirements on $\pitchfork$ (see Proposition 3.3). Nevertheless, we can establish the following theorem by a different approach.

Theorem 3.2. *There exists a unique continuous symmetric $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$-bilinear functional*

$$\mathcal{N} \colon \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N) \times \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N) \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$$

such that for any finitely generated subgroups $H, K \leq F_N$ we have

$$\mathcal{N}(\eta_H, \eta_K) = \mathcal{N}(H, K).$$

Moreover, $\mathcal{N}$ is $\mathrm{Out}(F_N)$-invariant.

We call $\mathcal{N}$ the *intersection functional*. Our strategy of proving Theorem 3.2 is based on the proof of the existence of the reduced rank functional $\overline{\mathrm{rk}}$. We construct $\mathcal{N}$ by a careful usage of *occurrences*, which were introduced in [KN13].

As a corollary to our theorem, the inequality in the Strengthened Hanna Neumann Conjecture is generalized to the inequality for subset currents:

Corollary 3.12. *Let $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N)$. The following inequality holds:*

$$\mathcal{N}(\mu, \nu) \leq \overline{\mathrm{rk}}(\mu)\overline{\mathrm{rk}}(\nu).$$

Since $\mathcal{N}(F_N, H) = \overline{\mathrm{rk}}(H)$ for every finitely generated subgroup $H \leq F_N$, the intersection functional $\mathcal{N}$ is an extension of the reduced rank functional $\overline{\mathrm{rk}}$.

Inspired by the question presented by Kapovich and Nagnibeda, we also prove the following theorems.

Theorems 4.1 and 4.2. *Let I be the intersection map:*

$$\mathfrak{C}_N \times \mathfrak{C}_N \to \{\text{closed subsets of } \partial F_N\};\ (S_1, S_2) \mapsto S_1 \cap S_2.$$

For $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N)$ we can obtain a subset current $\widehat{I}(\mu, \nu)$ by defining

$$\widehat{I}(\mu, \nu)(U) := \mu \times \nu(I^{-1}(U))$$

for any Borel subset $U \subset \mathfrak{C}_N$. Then the map $\widehat{I}$ is a non-continuous $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$-bilinear map

$$\widehat{I} \colon \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N) \times \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N) \to \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N)$$

and $\widehat{I}$ satisfies the conditions that

$$\widehat{I}(\eta_H, \eta_K) = \sum_{HgK \in H\backslash F_N/K} \eta_{H \cap gKg^{-1}}$$

for any finitely generated subgroups $H, K \leq F_N$, and that

$$\overline{\mathrm{rk}} \circ \widehat{I} = \mathcal{N}.$$

Organization of this paper. In Section 2 we set up notation and summarize without proofs some important properties on subset currents in [KN13]. We also recall some tools and methods used in the proof of the existence of the reduced rank functional $\overline{\mathrm{rk}}$. In Section 3, first, we give an answer to the question posed in [KN13], and construct the intersection functional $\mathcal{N}$. In Section 4 we represent $\mathcal{N}$ by using the intersection map I and the reduced rank functional $\overline{\mathrm{rk}}$.

Acknowledgements. I am deeply grateful to Prof. Masahiko Kanai who offered continuing support and constant encouragement. I also owe a very important debt to Prof. Katsuhiko Matsuzaki who provided sincere encouragement throughout the production of this study.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Conventions regarding graphs and free groups.** (cf. [KN13], Subsection 2.1 and 2.2) A *graph* is a 0 or 1-dimensional CW complex. The set of 0-cells of a graph Δ is denoted by $V(\Delta)$ and its elements are called *vertices* of Δ. The set of (closed) 1-cells of a graph Δ is denoted by $E_{\mathrm{top}}(\Delta)$ and its elements are called *topological edges*. The interior of every topological edge is homeomorphic to the interval $(0,1) \subset \mathbb{R}$ and thus admits exactly two orientations. A topological edge endowed with an orientation on its interior is called an *oriented edge* of Δ. The set of all oriented edges of Δ is denoted by $E(\Delta)$. For every oriented edge e of Δ there are naturally defined (and not necessarily distinct) vertices $o(e) \in V(\Delta)$, called the *origin* of e, and $t(e) \in V(\Delta)$, called the *terminal* of e. Then the boundary of e is $\{o(e), t(e)\}$. For an oriented edge $e \in E(\Delta)$ changing its orientation to the opposite one produces another oriented edge of Δ denoted by e^{-1} and called the *inverse* of e. For a graph Δ giving an *orientation* to Δ is fixing an orientation of every topological edge of Δ.

Let Δ be a graph and $v \in V(\Delta)$. The degree of v in Δ is the number of oriented edges whose origin is v.

For a graph Δ, we always give the path metric d_Δ to Δ, where the length of each topological edge of Δ is 1.

A *graph morphism* $f : \Delta \to \Delta'$ is a continuous map from a graph Δ to a graph Δ' that maps the vertices of Δ to vertices of Δ' and such that each interior of an edge of Δ is mapped isometrically to an interior of an edge of Δ'. A *graph isomorphism* is a bijective graph morphism.

Let $N \geq 2$ be an integer. We fix a free basis $A = \{a_1, \ldots, a_N\}$ of the free group F_N. We denote by id the identity element of F_N. We denote by $\mathrm{Sub}(F_N)$ the set of all non-trivial finitely generated subgroups of F_N.

Let X be the Cayley graph of (F_N, A), where $V(X) := F_N$, $E_{\mathrm{top}}(X) := F_N \times A$, and for every topological edge $(g, a) \in E_{\mathrm{top}}(X)$ the boundary is $\{g, ga\}$. The Cayley graph X is a tree. The free group F_N naturally acts on X from the left by graph isomorphisms. Let R_N be the quotient graph $F_N \backslash X$ and denote by $q : X \to R_N$ the canonical projection, which is a universal covering map. The quotient graph R_N consists of one vertex x_0 and N loop-edges at the vertex x_0, each of which is corresponding to an element of A. The graph R_N is called *N-rose*. We identify F_N with the fundamental group $\pi_1(R_N, x_0)$ in a natural way, and also identify the hyperbolic boundary ∂F_N of F_N with the (geodesic) boundary ∂X of X. We deal only with ∂X in this paper. However, we have to care about whether each statement depends on the basis A or not since ∂F_N exists without fixing any free basis A.

2.2. **The space of subset currents on F_N.** In this subsection, we summarize necessary definitions and properties of subset currents on F_N (see [KN13] for details).

We denote by $\mathfrak{C}_N$ the set of all closed subsets $S \subset \partial X$ such that the cardinality $\#S \geq 2$. We endow $\mathfrak{C}_N$ with the subspace topology from the Vietoris topology on the hyperspace of ∂X, which consists of all closed subsets of ∂X. Then, $\mathfrak{C}_N$ is a locally compact totally disconnected metrizable space. If we give a distance on ∂X which is compatible with the topology on ∂X, then the topology on $\mathfrak{C}_N$ induced by the Hausdorff distance coincides with the topology which we defined above.

For an oriented edge $e \in E(X)$, we define the *cylinder* $\mathrm{Cyl}(e)$ to be the subset of ∂X consisting of equivalence classes of all geodesic rays in X emanating from the oriented edge e. A cylinder $\mathrm{Cyl}(e)$ is an open and compact subset of ∂X for any $e \in E(X)$, and the collection of all $\mathrm{Cyl}(e)$ ($e \in E(X)$) is a basis of ∂X.

We denote by $\mathrm{Sub}(X)$ the set of all non-degenerate finite subtrees of X, which are finite subtrees with at least two distinct vertices

Let $T \in \mathrm{Sub}(X)$ and let $e_1, \ldots, e_m$ be all the terminal edges of T, which are oriented edges whose terminal vertices are precisely the vertices of T of degree 1. Define the *subset cylinder* $\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(T)$ to be the subset of $\mathfrak{C}_N$ consisting of $S \in \mathfrak{C}_N$ satisfying the condition that

$$S \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} \mathrm{Cyl}(e_i) \text{ and } S \cap \mathrm{Cyl}(e_i) \neq \emptyset \ (\forall i = 1, 2, \ldots m).$$

For $T \in \mathrm{Sub}(X)$ the subset $\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(T) \subset \mathfrak{C}_N$ is compact and open, and the collection of all $\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(T)$ ($T \in \mathrm{Sub}(X)$) forms a basis for the topology on $\mathfrak{C}_N$.

Note that the left continuous action of F_N on ∂X naturally extends to a left continuous action on $\mathfrak{C}_N$.

A *subset current* on F_N is a Borel measure on $\mathfrak{C}_N$ that is F_N-invariant and locally finite (i.e., finite on all compact subsets of $\mathfrak{C}_N$.)

The set of all subset currents on F_N is denoted by $\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N)$. The space $\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N)$ has the $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$-linear structure, and the space $\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N)$ is endowed with the natural weak-$*$ topology.

Proposition 2.1 (See [KN13], Proposition 3.7)**.**

(1) *Let $\mu, \mu_n \in \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N)$, where $n = 1, 2, \ldots$. Then $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mu_n = \mu$ in $\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N)$ if and only if for every $T \in \mathrm{Sub}(X)$ we have*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mu_n(\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(T)) = \mu(\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(T)).$$

(2) *For each* $T \in \mathrm{Sub}(X)$ *the functional*

$$\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N) \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0};\ \mu \mapsto \mu(\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(T))$$

is continuous and $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$*-linear.*

Recall that for a subgroup H of a group G the *commensurator* or *virtual normalizer* $\mathrm{Comm}_G(H)$ of H in G is defined as

$$\mathrm{Comm}_G(H) := \{g \in G \mid [H : H \cap gHg^{-1}] < \infty \text{ and } [gHg^{-1} : H \cap gHg^{-1}] < \infty\}.$$

Let $H \in \mathrm{Sub}(F_N)$. The *limit set* $\Lambda(H)$ of H in $\partial X (= \partial F_N)$ is the set of all $\xi \in \partial X$ such that there exists a sequence of $h_n \in H$ $(n = 1, 2, \dots)$ satisfying $\lim_{n\to\infty} h_n = \xi$ in $X \cup \partial X$. See [KN13, Proposition 4.1 and Proposition 4.2] for elementary properties of limit sets.

Note that for every $H \in \mathrm{Sub}(F_N)$ we have $\Lambda(H) \in \mathfrak{C}_N$, and

$$\mathrm{Comm}_{F_N}(H) = \mathrm{Stab}_{F_N}(\Lambda(H)),$$

where $\mathrm{Stab}_{F_N}(\Lambda(H)) := \{g \in F_N \mid g\Lambda(H) = \Lambda(H)\}$ is the stabilizer of $\Lambda(H)$.

For $H \in \mathrm{Sub}(F_N)$ a subset current η_H, which is called a *counting subset current*, is defined as follows. Set $\widehat{H} = \mathrm{Comm}_{F_N}(H)$.

Suppose first that $H = \widehat{H}$. Define a Borel measure η_H on $\mathfrak{C}_N$ as

$$\eta_H := \sum_{H' \in [H]} \delta_{\Lambda(H')},$$

where $[H]$ is the conjugacy class of H in F_N and $\delta_{\Lambda(H')}$ is the Dirac measure on $\mathfrak{C}_N$ which means that for a Borel subset $U \subset \mathfrak{C}_N$, if $\Lambda(H') \in U$, then $\delta_{\Lambda(H')}(U) = 1$; if $\Lambda(H') \notin U$, then $\delta_{\Lambda(H')}(U) = 0$.

Now let H be an arbitrary nontrivial finitely generated subgroup of F_N. Define η_H as $\eta_H := [\widehat{H} : H]\eta_{\widehat{H}}$. Note that $\mathrm{Comm}_{F_N}(\widehat{H}) = \widehat{H}$ and $[\widehat{H} : H]$ is finite.

We can see that η_H is a subset current, especially, locally finite (see [KN13, Lemma 4.4]). For the trivial subgroup $H = \{\mathrm{id}\}$ we set $\eta_H = 0 \in \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N)$. A subset current $\mu \in \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N)$ is said to be *rational* if $\mu = r\eta_H$ for some $r \geq 0$ and $H \in \mathrm{Sub}(F_N)$. The set of all rational subset currents is a dense subset of $\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N)$ (see [KN13, Theorem 5.8], and [Kap13]).

We observe the following proposition.

Proposition 2.2. *Let* $H \in \mathrm{Sub}(F_N)$*. Then we have*

$$\eta_H = \sum_{gH \in F_N/H} \delta_{g\Lambda(H)}.$$

Proof. First, we assume $H = \mathrm{Comm}_{F_N}(H) (= \mathrm{Stab}_{F_N}(\Lambda(H)))$. Then we can define the following map:

$$\Phi : F_N/H \to [H];\ gH \mapsto \mathrm{Stab}_{F_N}(g\Lambda(H)) = gHg^{-1}.$$

The map Φ is clearly surjective and we can prove that Φ is injective as follows. Let $g_1H, g_2H \in F_N/H$, and suppose $g_1Hg_1^{-1} = g_2Hg_2^{-1}$. Then we have $g_2^{-1}g_1Hg_1^{-1}g_2 = H$, and so $g_2^{-1}g_1 \in H$ by the definition of the commensurator. Hence $g_1H = g_2H$. Therefore Φ is bijective, and this implies

$$\eta_H = \sum_{H' \in [H]} \delta_{\Lambda(H')} = \sum_{gH \in F_N/H} \delta_{\Lambda(\Phi(gH))} = \sum_{gH \in F_N/H} \delta_{g\Lambda(H)}.$$

In general, put $\widehat{H} := \mathrm{Comm}_{F_N} H$ and $m := [\widehat{H} : H]$. Then we can choose $h_1, \ldots, h_m \in \widehat{H}$ such that $\{h_i H\}_{i=1,\ldots,m}$ is a complete system of representatives of $\widehat{H}/H$. If $\{g_j \widehat{H}\}_{j\in J}$ is a complete system of representatives of $F_N/\widehat{H}$, then $\{g_j h_i H\}_{i=1,\ldots,m, j\in J}$ is a complete system of representatives of F_N/H. Since $h_i \in \widehat{H} = \mathrm{Stab}_{F_N}(\Lambda(H))$ and $\Lambda(H) = \Lambda(\widehat{H})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{gH\in F_N/H} \delta_{g\Lambda(H)} = \sum_{i,j} \delta_{g_j h_i \Lambda(H)} =& m \sum_{j\in J} \delta_{g_j \Lambda(\widehat{H})} \\ =& m \sum_{g\widehat{H}\in F_N/\widehat{H}} \delta_{g\Lambda(\widehat{H})} = m\eta_{\widehat{H}} = \eta_H,\end{aligned}$$

as required. □

If $\varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}\ (F_N)$ is an automorphism of F_N, then φ induces a quasi-isometry of X, and moreover, the quasi-isometry extends to a homeomorphism $\varphi\colon \partial X \to \partial X$, where we still denote it by φ. Thus $\mathrm{Aut}(F_N)$ has a natural action on $\mathfrak{C}_N$. Moreover, $\mathrm{Aut}(F_N)$ acts on $\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N)$ $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$-linearly and continuously by pushing forward. Explicitly,

$$(\varphi\mu)(U) := \mu(\varphi^{-1}(U))$$

for $\varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}(F_N), \mu \in \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N)$ and every Borel subset $U \subset \mathfrak{C}_N$. Then for $\varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}(F_N)$ and $H \in \mathrm{Sub}(F_N)$ we have $\varphi\eta_H = \eta_{\varphi(H)}$. Since subset currents are F_N-invariant, the action of $\mathrm{Aut}(F_N)$ on $\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N)$ factors through the action of the outer automorphism group $\mathrm{Out}(F_N)$ on $\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N)$. The action of $\mathrm{Out}(F_N)$ on $\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N)$ is effective.

2.3. **R_N-graphs and occurrences.** In this subsection, first, following [KN13, Subsection 4.2] we define *R_N-graphs* and also *occurreces* for an R_N-graph and for $T \in \mathrm{Sub}(X)$, the set of all non-degenerate finite subtrees of X. Occurrences play an essential role in studying rational subset currents on F_N (Lemma 2.7).

Recall that R_N is the quotient graph $F_N\backslash X$, which is an N-rose. An *R_N-graph* is a graph Δ with a graph morphism $\tau\colon \Delta \to R_N$. We call τ an R_N-graph structure. Let (Δ_1, τ_1) and (Δ_2, τ_2) be R_N-graphs. A graph morphism $f\colon \Delta_1 \to \Delta_2$ is called an *R_N-graph morphism* if $\tau_1 = \tau_2 \circ f$. For an R_N-graph (Δ, τ) and $v \in V(\Delta)$ we call a pair $((\Delta, \tau), v)$ (or simply (Δ, v)) a based R_N-graph with a base point v.

An R_N-graph (Δ, τ) is said to be *folded* if τ is locally injective (immersion). We say that a finite R_N-graph (Δ, τ) is an *R_N-core graph* if (Δ, τ) is folded and has no degree-one and degree-zero vertices. We do not assume that R_N-core graphs are connected.

For a graph Δ giving an R_N-structure $\tau\colon \Delta \to R_N$ to Δ can be regarded as giving a *label structure* $E_{\mathrm{top}}(\Delta) \to A$ and giving an orientation to Δ. We say a topological or oriented edge e of Δ has a label $a \in A$ when the graph morphism τ maps the edge e to the loop of R_N corresponding to $a \in A$.

Definition 2.3. Let Δ be a graph. Let $T \in \mathrm{Sub}(X)$. The *interior* of T is $T \setminus \{\text{degree-one vertices of } T\}$. A graph morphism $f\colon T \to \Delta$ is said to be *locally homeomorphic in the interior* if the restriction of f to the interior of T is locally homeomorphic, in other words, the degree of v in T equals to the degree of $f(v)$ in Δ for every $v \in V(T)$ with degree more than one.

Let $T \in \mathrm{Sub}(X)$, and Y be a (not necessarily finite) subtree of X. We say that Y is an *extension* of T and denote by $T \underset{\mathrm{ext}}{\subset} Y$, if $T \subset Y$ and if the inclusion map is locally homeomorphic in the interior.

Proposition 2.4. *Let $S \in \mathfrak{C}_N$ and $T \in Sub(X)$. Then $S \in \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(T)$ if and only if $T \underset{\mathrm{ext}}{\subset} \mathrm{Conv}(S)$, where $\mathrm{Conv}(S)$ is the convex hull of S in X.*

Proof. Let $e_1, \dots, e_m$ be all the terminal edges of T and v_i be the terminal of e_i. Then we have

$$T = \bigcup_{i,j=1,\dots,m} [v_i, v_j].$$

where $[v_i, v_j]$ is the geodesic from v_i to v_j in X. Similarly,

$$\mathrm{Conv}(S) = \bigcup_{\xi,\zeta \in S} (\xi, \zeta)$$

where (ξ, ζ) is the bi-infinite geodesic from ξ to ζ in X.

Suppose $S \in \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(T)$. By the definition $S \subset \bigcup_i \mathrm{Cyl}(e_i)$ and $S \cap \mathrm{Cyl}(e_i) \neq \emptyset$ $(i = 1, \dots, m)$. If $\xi, \zeta \in S \cap \mathrm{Cyl}(e_i)$ for the same i, then (ξ, ζ) does not contain any edges of T. If $\xi \in S \cap \mathrm{Cyl}(e_i), \zeta \in S \cap \mathrm{Cyl}(e_j) (i \neq j)$, then the geodesic (ξ, ζ) is an extension of $[v_i, v_j]$. Therefore we have $T \underset{\mathrm{ext}}{\subset} \mathrm{Conv}(S)$.

Next, we assume $T \underset{\mathrm{ext}}{\subset} \mathrm{Conv}(S)$. For every $v_i, v_j (i \neq j)$ there exist $\xi, \zeta \in S$ such that (ξ, ζ) is an extension of $[v_i, v_j]$ and then we have $\xi \in \mathrm{Cyl}(e_i)$ and $\zeta \in \mathrm{Cyl}(e_j)$. Hence $S \cap \mathrm{Cyl}(e_i) \neq \emptyset$ $(i = 1, \dots, m)$. To prove $S \subset \bigcup_i \mathrm{Cyl}(e_i)$, we take $\xi \in S$ and $\zeta \in S \cap \mathrm{Cyl}(e_i)$ for some i. If (ξ, ζ) contains some edges of T, then $T \underset{\mathrm{ext}}{\subset} \mathrm{Conv}(S)$ implies that there exists v_j such that (ξ, ζ) is an extension of $[v_j, v_i]$. Thus $\xi \in \mathrm{Cyl}(e_j)$ and $S \subset \bigcup_i \mathrm{Cyl}(e_i)$. If (ξ, ζ) does not contain any edges of T, we choose $\zeta' \in S \cap \mathrm{Cyl}(e_j)$ $(j \neq i)$. Then (ζ, ζ') is an extension of $[v_i, v_j]$. Since $(\xi, \zeta) \cup (\xi, \zeta') \supset (\zeta, \zeta')$, the geodesic (ξ, ζ') contains some edges of T. Now, we can apply the above argument to (ξ, ζ'). □

Definition 2.5. Let $T \in \mathrm{Sub}(X)$. We can regard T as an R_N-graph with the R_N-graph structure inherited from the canonical projection $q\colon X \to R_N$. Let Δ be an R_N-core graph. An *occurrence* of T in Δ is an R_N-graph morphism $f\colon T \to \Delta$ which is locally homeomorphic in the interior. Let $\mathrm{Occ}(T, \Delta)$ be the set of all occurrences of T in Δ.

Consider a based R_N-graph (T, x) such that $T \in \mathrm{Sub}(X)$. For a based and folded R_N-graph (Δ, v) there exists at most one based occurrence $f\colon (T, x) \to (\Delta, v)$, where $f(x) = v$. In order to compute $\#\mathrm{Occ}(T, \Delta)$, it is sufficient to see whether there exists a based R_N-graph morphism $f\colon (T, x) \to (\Delta, v)$ for each $v \in V(\Delta)$. Hence

$$\#\mathrm{Occ}(T, \Delta) = \#\{v \in V(\Delta) \mid \exists f\colon (T, x) \to (\Delta, v) \text{ a based occurrence}\}.$$

Notation 2.6. Let $H \in \mathrm{Sub}(F_N)$. Set $X_H := \mathrm{Conv}(\Lambda(H))$, which is the unique minimal H-invariant subtree of X. We define Δ_H to be the quotient graph $H\backslash X_H$ and denote by $q_H\colon X_H \to \Delta_H$ the canonical projection. Then Δ_H becomes an R_N-core graph by the induced graph morphism $\tau_H\colon \Delta_H \to R_N$ from $q\colon X \to R_N$.

We denote by $\mathrm{Sub}(X, \mathrm{id})$ the set of all nontrivial finite based subtrees of X with the base point id. For $(T, \mathrm{id}) \in \mathrm{Sub}(X, \mathrm{id})$ we denote it briefly by T.

The following lemma is a direct corollary from Section 4.2 and Section 4.3 in [KN13], and plays an essential role in studying rational subset currents on F_N.

Lemma 2.7. *Let $H \in \mathrm{Sub}(F)$ and $T \in \mathrm{Sub}(X, \mathrm{id})$. Then we have*

$$\eta_H(\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(T)) = \#\mathrm{Occ}(T, \Delta_H) \\ (= \#\{v \in V(\Delta_H) \mid \exists f\colon (T, \mathrm{id}) \to (\Delta_H, v) \text{ a based occurrence}\}).$$

2.4. **The reduced rank functional $\overline{\mathrm{rk}}$.** Recall that the *rank* $\mathrm{rank}(F)$ of a finitely generated free group F is the cardinality of a free basis of F, and the *reduced rank* $\overline{\mathrm{rk}}(F)$ is defined as

$$\overline{\mathrm{rk}}(F) := \max\{\mathrm{rank}(F) - 1, 0\}.$$

If $\mathrm{rank}(F) \geq 1$, then $\overline{\mathrm{rk}}(F) = \mathrm{rk}(F) - 1$, and for a finite connected graph Δ whose fundamental group is isomorphic to F we have $\overline{\mathrm{rk}}(F) = -\chi(\Delta)$, where $\chi(\Delta) := \#V(\Delta) - \#E_{\mathrm{top}}(\Delta)$ is the Euler characteristic of Δ.

Theorem 2.8 (cf. [KN13], Theorem 8.1)**.** *There exists a unique continuous $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$-linear functional*

$$\overline{\mathrm{rk}}\colon \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N) \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$$

such that for every $H \in \mathrm{Sub}(F_N)$ we have

$$\overline{\mathrm{rk}}(\eta_H) = \overline{\mathrm{rk}}(H).$$

Moreover, $\overline{\mathrm{rk}}$ is $\mathrm{Out}(F_N)$-invariant.

The functional $\overline{\mathrm{rk}}$ is called the *reduced rank functional*. In order to prove Theorem 3.2 we will use a method used in the proof of [KN13, Theorem 8.1]. We give a proof of Theorem 2.8 following the argument in [KN13] almost step by step in the remaining part of this subsection.

Note that for $H \in \mathrm{Sub}(F_N)$ we have $\mathrm{rank}(H) \geq 1$, and so

$$\overline{\mathrm{rk}}(H) = \#E(\Delta_H) - \#V(\Delta_H).$$

We extend each term of the right hand side of this equation to a continuous $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$-linear functional on $\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N)$, namely construct continuous $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$-linear functionals

$$E, V\colon \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N) \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$$

such that $E(\eta_H) = \#E(\Delta_H)$ and $V(\eta_H) = \#V(\Delta_H)$ for $H \in \mathrm{Sub}(F_N)$.

Let e_a be the topological edge in X with the boundary $\{\mathrm{id}, a\}$ $(a \in A)$. Let $H \in \mathrm{Sub}(F_N)$. By considering e_a as a subtree of X we have $(e_a, \mathrm{id}) \in \mathrm{Sub}(X, \mathrm{id})$. Then $\eta_H(\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(e_a)) = \#\mathrm{Occ}(e_a, \Delta_H)$ coincides with the number of topological edges of Δ_H with the label a. From this we define the map

$$E\colon \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N) \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0};\ \mu \mapsto \sum_{a \in A} \mu(\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(e_a)).$$

Then we have $E(\eta_H) = \#E_{\mathrm{top}}(\Delta_H)$ for $H \in \mathrm{Sub}(F_N)$, and E is continuous and $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$-linear from Proposition 2.1.

In the case of V, we need the following definition.

Definition 2.9 (Round graphs. cf. [Kap13] Definition 3.6)**.** For an integer $r \geq 1$, we say that $T \in \mathrm{Sub}(X)$ is a *round graph* of *grade* r in X if there exists a (necessarily unique) vertex v of T such that for every degree-one vertex u of T we have $d(v, u) = r$. We call a pair (T, v) a based round graph of grade r if v satisfies

the above condition, where the degree of v have to be more than one. Let $\mathcal{R}_r$ denote the set of all based round graphs of grade r with the base point id. Thus $\mathcal{R}_r$ is a subset of $\mathrm{Sub}(X, \mathrm{id})$.

Remark 2.10. Fix a positive integer r. For any R_N-graph Δ and $v \in V(\Delta)$ there exists a unique $T_r(v) \in \mathcal{R}_r$ such that there exists a based occurrence $(T_r(v), \mathrm{id}) \to (\Delta, v)$. We can think of $T_r(v)$ as an *r-neighborhood* of v in Δ.

Let $v \in V(X)$ and $\rho \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. Set $B(v, \rho) := \{x \in X \mid d_X(v, x) \leq \rho\}$ the closed ball with radius ρ and center v in X. For $T \in R_r$ and every $S \in \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(T)$ we have $\mathrm{Conv}(S) \cap B(\mathrm{id}, r) = T$ from Proposition 2.4. Therefore, if $T_1 \neq T_2$ for $T_1, T_2 \in \mathcal{R}_r$, then $\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(T_1) \cap \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(T_2) = \emptyset$.

We define the map

$$V \colon \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N) \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0};\ \mu \mapsto \sum_{T \in \mathcal{R}_1} \mu(\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(T)) = \mu\left(\bigsqcup_{T \in \mathcal{R}_1} \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(T)\right).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} V(\eta_H) &= \sum_{T \in \mathcal{R}_1} \eta_H(\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(T)) \\ &= \sum_{T \in \mathcal{R}_1} \#\{v \in V(\Delta_H) \mid T_1(v) = T\} = \#V(\Delta_H) \end{aligned}$$

for $H \in \mathrm{Sub}(F_N)$, and V is continuous and $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$-linear. Note that for any positive integer r, we have

$$\bigsqcup_{T \in \mathcal{R}_r} \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(T) = \{S \in \mathfrak{C}_N \mid \mathrm{Conv}(S) \ni \mathrm{id}\}.$$

Proof of Theorem 2.8. Set $\overline{\mathrm{rk}} = E - V$. Then for $H \in \mathrm{Sub}(F_N)$,

$$\overline{\mathrm{rk}}(\eta_H) = E(\eta_H) - V(\eta_H) = \#E(\Delta_H) - \#V(\Delta_H) = \overline{\mathrm{rk}}(H).$$

Since $\overline{\mathrm{rk}}(r\eta_H) = r\overline{\mathrm{rk}}(H) \geq 0$ for any rational subset current $r\eta_H (r \geq 0, H \in \mathrm{Sub}(F_N))$, we have $\overline{\mathrm{rk}}(\mu) \geq 0$ for any $\mu \in \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N)$. The uniqueness and $\mathrm{Out}(F_N)$-invariance of $\overline{\mathrm{rk}}$ is obvious from the denseness of the rational subset currents in $\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N)$. □

3. The intersection functional $\mathcal{N}$

Define a product $\mathcal{N}(H, K)$ of two finitely generated subgroups H and K of F_N as

$$\mathcal{N}(H, K) := \sum_{HgK \in H\backslash F_N/K} \overline{\mathrm{rk}}(H \cap gKg^{-1}),$$

where $H\backslash F_N/K$ is the set of all double cosets HgK ($g \in F_N$). By this definition the Strengthened Hanna Neumann Conjecture (SHNC), which has been proven by Mineyev, can be stated as follows:

Theorem 3.1 (SHNC, see [Min12])**.** *For any finitely generated subgroups $H, K \leq F_N$, the following inequality follows:*

$$\mathcal{N}(H, K) \leq \overline{\mathrm{rk}}(H)\overline{\mathrm{rk}}(K).$$

In this section we give a proof of the following theorem, which is our main result.

Theorem 3.2. *There exists a unique continuous symmetric $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$-bilinear functional*

$$\mathcal{N}\colon \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N) \times \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N) \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$$

such that for $H, K \in \mathrm{Sub}(F_N)$ we have

$$\mathcal{N}(\eta_H, \eta_K) = \mathcal{N}(H, K).$$

Moreover, $\mathcal{N}$ is $\mathrm{Out}(F_N)$-invariant, that is, for any $\varphi \in \mathrm{Out}(F_N)$ and $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N)$ we have $\mathcal{N}(\varphi\mu, \varphi\nu) = \mathcal{N}(\mu, \nu)$.

We call $\mathcal{N}$ the *intersection functional*. By the definition of the product $\mathcal{N}$ and the $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$-linearity of the reduced rank functional $\overline{\mathrm{rk}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{N}(H, K) &= \sum_{HgK \in H\backslash F_N/K} \overline{\mathrm{rk}}(H \cap gKg^{-1}) \\ &= \overline{\mathrm{rk}} \left(\sum_{HgK \in H\backslash F_N/K} \eta_{H\cap gKg^{-1}} \right).\end{aligned}$$

Kapovich and Nagnibeda asked whether there exists a continuous $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$-bilinear map

$$\pitchfork\colon \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N) \times \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N) \to \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N)$$

such that

$$\pitchfork(\eta_H, \eta_K) = \sum_{HgK \in H\backslash F_N/K} \eta_{H\cap gKg^{-1}}$$

for any finitely generated subgroups $H, K \leq F_N$ (see [KN13, Subsection 10.4]). If such a map $\pitchfork$ exists, then Theorem 3.2 follows immediately, and moreover, $\pitchfork$ can be considered as a measure theoretical generalization of the construction of the fiber product graph $\Delta_H \times_{R_N} \Delta_K$ (see Definition 3.4 and the following argument). However, by the following proposition we answer that there does not exists such a map $\pitchfork$. Nevertheless we construct a non-continuous $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$-bilinear map $\widehat{I}\colon \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N) \times \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N) \to \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N)$ with reasonable properties (see Section 4).

Proposition 3.3. *If there exists an $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$-bilinear map*

$$\pitchfork\colon \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N) \times \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N) \to \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N)$$

such that

$$\pitchfork(\eta_H, \eta_K) = \sum_{HgK \in H\backslash F_N/K} \eta_{H\cap gKg^{-1}}$$

for any finitely generated subgroups $H, K \leq F_N$, then $\pitchfork$ is not continuous.

Proof. Recall that $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_N\}$ is a free basis of F_N. Set subgroups $H_n := \langle a_1^n a_2 \rangle$ $(n = 1, 2, \dots)$ and $H := \langle a_1 \rangle$. Then from Proposition 2.1 and Lemma 2.7 we can see

$$\frac{1}{n}\eta_{H_n} \to \eta_H \ (n \to \infty).$$

We also have

$$\pitchfork(\eta_{H_n}, \eta_H) = 0 \ (n = 1, 2, \dots), \ \pitchfork(\eta_H, \eta_H) = \eta_H,$$

which implies that $\frac{1}{n} \pitchfork (\eta_{H_n}, \eta_H)$ does not converges to $\pitchfork(\eta_H, \eta_H)$. Therefore, $\pitchfork$ is not continuous. □

To prove Theorem 3.2 we use the following graph theoretical description of $\mathcal{N}$. First, we recall the definition of the *fiber product graph* in [Sta83]. Since by a graph we mean a 0 or 1-dimensional CW complex, we rearrange the definition.

Definition 3.4 (cf. [Sta83])**.** Let $(\Delta_1, \tau_1), (\Delta_2, \tau_2)$ be R_N-graphs. The *fiber product graph* $\Delta_1 \times_{R_N} \Delta_2$ corresponding to (Δ_1, τ_1) and (Δ_2, τ_2) is the fiber product of (Δ_1, τ_1) and (Δ_2, τ_2) in the category of topological spaces with a graph structure induced by (Δ_1, τ_1) and (Δ_2, τ_2). Explicitly,

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_1 \times_{R_N} \Delta_2 &= \{(x_1, x_2) \in \Delta_1 \times \Delta_2 \mid \tau_1(x_1) = \tau_2(x_2)\};\\ V(\Delta_1 \times_{R_N} \Delta_2) &= \{(v_1, v_2) \in V(\Delta_1) \times V(\Delta_2)\};\\ E_{\mathrm{top}}(\Delta_1 \times_{R_N} \Delta_2) &= \{(e_1, e_2) \in E_{\mathrm{top}}(\Delta_1) \times E_{\mathrm{top}}(\Delta_2) \mid \tau_1(e_1) = \tau_2(e_2)\}.\end{aligned}$$

Here, $V(\Delta_1 \times_{R_N} \Delta_2)$ is given as above because R_N has only one vertex. For $(e_1, e_2) \in E_{\mathrm{top}}(\Delta_1 \times_{R_N} \Delta_2)$, fix orientations of e_1, e_2 such that $\tau_1(e_1) = \tau_2(e_2)$ in $E(R_N)$. Then the boundary of (e_1, e_2) is $\{(o(e_1), o(e_2)), (t(e_1), t(e_2))\}$, which does not depends on the choice of the orientations of e_1 and e_2.

There are natural graph morphisms $\phi_i \colon \Delta_1 \times_{R_N} \Delta_2 \to \Delta_i, (x_1, x_2) \to x_i$ $(i = 1, 2)$, and the graph morphism ϕ_i induces

$$\begin{aligned}&\phi_i \colon V(\Delta_1 \times_{R_N} \Delta_2) \to V(\Delta_i);\ (v_1, v_2) \mapsto v_i,\\ &\phi_i \colon E_{\mathrm{top}}(\Delta_1 \times_{R_N} \Delta_2) \to E_{\mathrm{top}}(\Delta_i);\ (e_1, e_2) \mapsto e_i.\end{aligned}$$

The fiber product graph $\Delta_1 \times_{R_N} \Delta_2$ becomes an R_N-graph by the map $\tau_1 \circ \phi_1 (= \tau_2 \circ \phi_2)$. If (Δ_1, τ_1) and (Δ_2, τ_2) are folded R_N-graphs, then so is $(\Delta_1 \times_{R_N} \Delta_2, \tau_1 \circ \phi_1)$. However, $\Delta_1 \times_{R_N} \Delta_2$ may not be connected and may have degree-zero and degree-one vertices even if Δ_1 and Δ_2 are connected R_N-core graphs.

Let $H, K \in \mathrm{Sub}(F_N)$. In the context of the SHNC it has been proven that every non-zero term in the sum of $\mathcal{N}(H, K)$ corresponds to a non-contractible component of $\Delta_H \times_{R_N} \Delta_K$, and the following equality holds (see [Neu90]):

$$\mathcal{N}(H, K) = -\sum_{i=1}^{k} \chi(\Gamma_i),$$

where $\Gamma_1, \ldots, \Gamma_k$ are all the non-contractible components of $\Delta_H \times_{R_N} \Delta_K$. Using this description of $\mathcal{N}$ our strategy of proving Theorem 3.2 is the same as that of Theorem 2.8. We denote by $c(\Delta_H \times_{R_N} \Delta_K)$ the number of contractible components of $\Delta_H \times_{R_N} \Delta_K$. Since the Euler characteristic of a contractible component is 1, we have

$$\mathcal{N}(H, K) = \#E_{\mathrm{top}}(\Delta_H \times_{R_N} \Delta_K) - \#V(\Delta_H \times_{R_N} \Delta_K) + c(\Delta_H \times_{R_N} \Delta_K).$$

We extend each term of the right hand side of this equation to a continuous symmetric $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$-bilinear functional on $\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N)$.

Note that for $T_1, T_2 \in \mathrm{Sub}(X)$ we have a continuous $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$-bilinear functional

$$\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N) \times \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N) \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0};\ (\mu, \nu) \mapsto \mu(\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(T_1))\nu(\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(T_2)),$$

which plays a fundamental role in constructing the intersection functional $\mathcal{N}$.

Since $\#V(\Delta_H \times_{R_N} \Delta_K) = \#V(\Delta_H)\#V(\Delta_K)$, we define the continuous $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$-bilinear map

$$\widehat{V} \colon \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N) \times \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N) \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$$

by

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{V}(\mu,\nu) :=& V(\mu)V(\nu)\\ =& \sum_{T\in\mathcal{R}_1}\mu(\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(T))\sum_{T\in\mathcal{R}_1}\nu(\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(T))\\ =& \sum_{T,T'\in\mathcal{R}_1}\mu(\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(T))\nu(\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(T)).\end{aligned}$$

Then we have $\widehat{V}(\eta_H,\eta_K)=\#V(\Delta_H\times_{R_N}\Delta_K)$.

In the case of $\#E_{\mathrm{top}}(\Delta_H\times_{R_N}\Delta_K)$, for each $a\in A$ the number of topological edges of $\Delta_H\times_{R_N}\Delta_K$ with the label a equals to the product of the number of topological edges of Δ_H with the label a and that of Δ_K with the label a. Therefore, we have

$$\#E_{\mathrm{top}}(\Delta_H\times_{R_N}\Delta_K)=\sum_{a\in A}\eta_H(\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(e_a))\eta_H(\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(e_a)).$$

Now, we define the continuous $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$-bilinear map

$$\widehat{E}\colon \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N)\times\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N)\to\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$$

by

$$\widehat{E}(\mu,\nu):=\sum_{a\in A}\mu(\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(e_a))\nu(\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(e_a)).$$

Then we have $\widehat{E}(\eta_H,\eta_K)=\#E_{\mathrm{top}}(\Delta_H\times_{R_N}\Delta_K)$.

In the remaining part of this section we construct a continuous $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$-bilinear functional

$$\widehat{c}\colon \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N)\times\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N)\to\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$$

such that $\widehat{c}(\eta_H,\eta_K)=c(\Delta_H\times_{R_N}\Delta_K)$.

Set $\widehat{\mathrm{Sub}}(X,id):=\mathrm{Sub}(X,\mathrm{id})\cup\{\{\mathrm{id}\}\}$, where $\{\mathrm{id}\}$ is regarded as a subtree of X consisting of one vertex id.

To construct $\widehat{c}$ we use the following lemmas. First one is obvious from the definition of the fiber product graph.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $(\Delta_1,\tau_1),(\Delta_2,\tau_2)$ be R_N-core graphs and $v_i\in V(\Delta_i)$ $(i=1,2)$. Let Γ be the connected component of $\Delta_1\times_{R_N}\Delta_2$ containing the vertex (v_1,v_2). Let $f_i\colon (T_i,\mathrm{id})\to(\Delta_i,v_i)$ $(T_i\in\mathrm{Sub}(X,\mathrm{id}))$ be a based occurrence $(i=1,2)$, and set $T=T_1\cap T_2$. Then we have an R_N-graph morphism*

$$f\colon T\to\Delta_1\times_{R_N}\Delta_2;\ x\mapsto(f_1(x),f_2(x)),$$

and f is locally homeomorphic in the interior.

Lemma 3.6. *Let $T\in\widehat{\mathrm{Sub}}(X,\mathrm{id})$ such that $T\subset B(\mathrm{id},r)$ for an integer $r\geq 0$. Let $T_1,T_2\in\mathcal{R}_{r+1}$ with $T_1\cap T_2=T$. Let $(\Delta_1,\tau_1),(\Delta_2,\tau_2)$ be R_N-core graphs and v_i a vertex of Δ_i $i=1,2$). If there are based occurrences $f_i\colon(T_i,\mathrm{id})\to(\Delta_i,v_i)$ $(i=1,2)$, then there exists an R_N-graph isomorphism from T to the connected component Γ of $\Delta_1\times_{R_N}\Delta_2$ containing the vertex (v_1,v_2). In particular, Γ is contractible.*

Proof. We denote by ϕ_i the natural R_N-graph morphism from $\Delta_1\times_{R_N}\Delta_2$ to Δ_i $(i=1,2)$, and consider the R_N-graph morphism $f\colon T\to\Delta_1\times_{R_N}\Delta_2;\ x\mapsto(f_1(x),f_2(x))$ given by Lemma 3.5. Since $f(\mathrm{id})=(f_1(\mathrm{id}),f_2(\mathrm{id}))=(v_1,v_2)$, we have $f(T)\subset\Gamma$. We prove the map $f\colon T\to\Gamma$ is an R_N-graph isomorphism.

First, we prove the surjectivity of f. Take any $x \in \Gamma$ and a locally isometric path $p\colon [0,l] \to \Gamma$ such that $p(0) = (v_1, v_2)$ and $p(l) = x$. Assume that $l \leq r+1$. Since f_i is locally homeomorphic in the interior, for the path $\phi_i \circ p$ in Δ_i we can take the lift $\widetilde{p}_i\colon [0,l] \to T_i$ such that $f_i \circ \widetilde{p}_i = \phi_i \circ p$ and $\widetilde{p}_i(0) = \mathrm{id}$ $(i = 1, 2)$. Then $\widetilde{p}_i$ can be regarded as the lift of $\tau_i \circ \phi_i \circ p\colon ([0,l], 0) \to (R_N, x_0)$ with respect to the universal covering $q\colon (X, \mathrm{id}) \to (R_N, x_0)$. Since $\tau_1 \circ \phi_1 \circ p = \tau_2 \circ \phi_2 \circ p$, we have $\widetilde{p}_1 = \widetilde{p}_2$ from the uniqueness of the lift. Therefore $\widetilde{p}_1(l) = \widetilde{p}_2(l) \in T_1 \cap T_2 = T$, and $f(\widetilde{p}_1(l)) = (\phi_1 \circ p(l), \phi_2 \circ p(l)) = x$. Hence f is surjective, and moreover, it follows that $l \leq r$. If the length l of p is greater than $r+1$, we can do the same argument for $p|_{[0,r+1]}$ and this leads to a contradiction, which concludes that there is no locally isometric path starting from (v_1, v_2) in Γ with length greater than r. In particular, we can see that Γ is a tree.

Since f is an R_N-graph morphism from the tree T to the tree Γ, the injectivity of f follows. Therefore, f is an R_N-graph isomorphism from T to Γ. □

Lemma 3.7. *Let $T \in \widehat{\mathrm{Sub}}(X, \mathrm{id})$ with $T \subset B(\mathrm{id}, r)$. Let (Δ_1, τ_1) and (Δ_2, τ_2) be R_N-core graphs and Γ the connected component of $\Delta_1 \times_{R_N} \Delta_2$ containing a vertex $(v_1, v_2) \in V(\Delta_1) \times V(\Delta_2)$. Then there exists a based R_N-graph isomorphism from (T, id) to $(\Gamma, (v_1, v_2))$ if and only if $T_{r+1}(v_1) \cap T_{r+1}(v_2) = T$, where $T_{r+1}(v_i)$ is $(r+1)$-neighborhood of v_i $(i = 1, 2)$.*

Proof. The "if" part follows from Lemma 3.6. To prove the "only if" part, we suppose there exists an R_N-graph isomorphism $\varphi\colon (T, \mathrm{id}) \to (\Gamma, (v_1, v_2))$. Let $f_i\colon (T_{r+1}(v_i), \mathrm{id}) \to (\Delta_i, v_i)$ be the occurrence $(i = 1, 2)$. From Lemma 3.5 we have the R_N-graph morphism

$$f\colon T_{r+1}(v_1) \cap T_{r+1}(v_2) \to \Gamma \subset \Delta_1 \times_{R_N} \Delta_2;\ x \to (f_1(x), f_2(x))$$

which is locally homeomorphic in the interior. Then the R_N-graph morphism $\varphi^{-1} \circ f\colon T_{r+1}(v_1) \cap T_{r+1}(v_2) \to T$ is locally homeomorphic in the interior and $\varphi^{-1} \circ f(\mathrm{id}) = \mathrm{id}$, which implies that $T_{r+1}(v_1) \cap T_{r+1}(v_2) \subset T$. Since $T \subset B(\mathrm{id}, r)$, for the natural R_N-graph morphism $\phi_i\colon \Delta_1 \times_{R_N} \Delta_2 \to \Delta_i$ we have the lift $\widetilde{\phi}_i\colon T \to T_{r+1}(v_i)$ such that $f_i \circ \widetilde{\phi}_i = \phi_i \circ \varphi$ and $\widetilde{\phi}_i(\mathrm{id}) = \mathrm{id}$ $(i = 1, 2)$. Therefore, $T \subset T_{r+1}(v_1) \cap T_{r+1}(v_2)$, which concludes that $T_{r+1}(v_1) \cap T_{r+1}(v_2) = T$. □

Notation 3.8. Let $T \in \widehat{\mathrm{Sub}}(X, \mathrm{id})$ and let $(\Delta_1, \tau_1), (\Delta_2, \tau_2)$ be R_N-core graphs. We denote by $c(\Delta_1 \times_{R_N} \Delta_2, T)$ the number of contractible components of $\Delta_1 \times_{R_N} \Delta_2$ that are R_N-graph isomorphic to T.

We define $\widehat{\mathrm{Sub}}(X, \mathrm{id})/F_N$ to be the set of all the equivalence classes of the following equivalence relation: $T_1 \in \widehat{\mathrm{Sub}}(X, \mathrm{id})$ is equivalent to $T_2 \in \widehat{\mathrm{Sub}}(X, \mathrm{id})$ if there exists $g \in F_N$ such that $gT_1 = T_2$. We denote by $[T]$ the equivalence class containing $T \in \widehat{\mathrm{Sub}}(X, \mathrm{id})$.

For any contractible component Γ of $\Delta_1 \times_{R_N} \Delta_2$ we have a lift $\Gamma \to X$ of $\tau_1 \circ \phi_1\colon \Gamma \to R_N$ with respect to the universal covering $q\colon X \to R_N$, which implies that there exists a unique equivalence class $[T] \in \widehat{\mathrm{Sub}}(X, \mathrm{id})/F_N$ such that Γ is R_N-graph isomorphic to T. Therefore we have

$$c(\Delta_1 \times_{R_N} \Delta_2) = \sum_{[T] \in \widehat{\mathrm{Sub}}(X, \mathrm{id})/F_N} c(\Delta_1 \times_{R_N} \Delta_2, T).$$

Let $H, K \in \mathrm{Sub}(F_N)$ and $T \in \widehat{\mathrm{Sub}}(X, \mathrm{id})$ with $T \subset B(\mathrm{id}, r)$ for an integer $r \geq 0$. From Lemma 3.7 and Lemma 2.7, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & c(\Delta_H \times_{R_N} \Delta_K, T) \\ = & \sum_{\substack{T_1, T_2 \in \mathcal{R}_{r+1} \\ T_1 \cap T_2 = T}} \#\{v \in V(\Delta_H) : T_{r+1}(v) = T_1\} \cdot \#\{v \in V(\Delta_K) : T_{r+1}(v) = T_2\} \\ = & \sum_{\substack{T_1, T_2 \in \mathcal{R}_{r+1} \\ T_1 \cap T_2 = T}} \eta_H(\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(T_1))\eta_K(\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(T_2)). \end{aligned}$$

Notation 3.9. Let $T \in \widehat{\mathrm{Sub}}(X, \mathrm{id})$. Set

$$\mathcal{R}(T) := \{(S_1, S_2) \in \mathfrak{C}_N \times \mathfrak{C}_N \mid \mathrm{Conv}(S_1) \cap \mathrm{Conv}(S_2) = T\}.$$

Let r be a positive integer which satisfies $T \subset B(\mathrm{id}, r)$. Then we have the following equality:

$$(*) \qquad \mathcal{R}(T) = \bigsqcup_{\substack{T_1, T_2 \in \mathcal{R}_{r+1} \\ T_1 \cap T_2 = T}} \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(T_1) \times \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(T_2),$$

and so

$$c(\Delta_H \times_{R_N} \Delta_K, T) = \eta_H \times \eta_K(\mathcal{R}(T)),$$

where $\eta_H \times \eta_K$ is the product measure of η_H and η_K.

From the definition of $\mathcal{R}(T)$ and $(*)$, we immediately have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.10. *Let $T, T' \in \widehat{\mathrm{Sub}}(X, \mathrm{id})$.*

(1) *If $T \neq T'$, then we have*

$$\mathcal{R}(T) \cap \mathcal{R}(T') = \emptyset.$$

(2) *If there exists $g \in F_N$ such that $gT = T'$, then for any $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N)$*

$$\mu \times \nu(\mathcal{R}(T)) = \mu \times \nu(\mathcal{R}(T')).$$

(3) *The map*

$$\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N) \times \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N) \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0};\ (\mu, \nu) \mapsto \mu \times \nu(\mathcal{R}(T))$$

is a continuous $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$-bilinear map.

Moreover, $\mathcal{R}(T)$ $(T \in \widehat{\mathrm{Sub}}(X.\mathrm{id}))$ has the following properties:

$$\begin{aligned} & \bigsqcup_{T \in \widehat{\mathrm{Sub}}(X, \mathrm{id})} \mathcal{R}(T) \\ = & \{(S_1, S_2) \in \mathfrak{C}_N \times \mathfrak{C}_N \mid \exists T \in \widehat{\mathrm{Sub}}(X, \mathrm{id}), \mathrm{Conv}(S_1) \cap \mathrm{Conv}(S_2) = T\} \\ \subset & \{(S_1, S_2) \in \mathfrak{C}_N \times \mathfrak{C}_N \mid \mathrm{Conv}(S_1), \mathrm{Conv}(S_2) \ni \mathrm{id}\} \\ = & \bigsqcup_{T_1, T_2 \in \mathcal{R}_1} \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(T_1) \times \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(T_2). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N)$

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{T\in\widehat{\mathrm{Sub}}(X,\mathrm{id})} \mu\times\nu(\mathcal{R}(T)) &= \mu\times\nu\left(\bigsqcup_{T\in\widehat{\mathrm{Sub}}(X,\mathrm{id})}\mathcal{R}(T)\right)\\ &\le \mu\times\nu\left(\bigsqcup_{T_1,T_2\in\mathcal{R}_1}\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(T_1)\times\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(T_2)\right)\\ &= \mu\left(\bigsqcup_{T_1\in\mathcal{R}_1}\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(T_1)\right)\nu\left(\bigsqcup_{T_2\in\mathcal{R}_1}\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(T_2)\right)\\ &= V(\mu)V(\nu).\end{aligned}$$

Hence the infinite sum

$$\sum_{T\in\widehat{\mathrm{Sub}}(X,\mathrm{id})}\mu\times\nu(\mathcal{R}(T))$$

always converges. Since $\#[T] = \#V(T)$ for $T \in \widehat{\mathrm{Sub}}(X,\mathrm{id})$, we have

$$\sum_{T\in\widehat{\mathrm{Sub}}(X,\mathrm{id})}\mu\times\nu(\mathcal{R}(T)) = \sum_{[T]\in\widehat{\mathrm{Sub}}(X,\mathrm{id})/F_N}\#V(T)\mu\times\nu(\mathcal{R}(T)).$$

Let $H, K \in \mathrm{Sub}(F_N)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}c(\Delta_H\times_{R_N}\Delta_K) &= \sum_{[T]\in\widehat{\mathrm{Sub}}(X,\mathrm{id})/F_N} c(\Delta_H\times_{R_N}\Delta_K, T)\\ &= \sum_{[T]\in\widehat{\mathrm{Sub}}(X,\mathrm{id})/F_N}\eta_H\times\eta_K(\mathcal{R}(T)).\end{aligned}$$

We define the $\mathbb{R}_{\ge 0}$-bilinear map

$$\widehat{c}\colon \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N)\times\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N)\to\mathbb{R}_{\ge 0}$$

as

$$\widehat{c}(\mu,\nu) := \sum_{[T]\in\widehat{\mathrm{Sub}}(X,\mathrm{id})/F_N}\mu\times\nu(\mathcal{R}(T))\ .$$

Then we have $\widehat{c}(\eta_H,\eta_K) = c(\Delta_H\times_{R_N}\Delta_K)$ for $H, K \in \mathrm{Sub}(F_N)$.

Note that $\widehat{c}(\mu,\nu)$ can be represented by

$$\widehat{c}(\mu,\nu) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\sum_{\substack{[T]\in\widehat{\mathrm{Sub}}(X,\mathrm{id})/F_N\\ \#V(T)=m}}\mu\times\nu(\mathcal{R}(T)).$$

Theorem 3.11. *The $\mathbb{R}_{\ge 0}$-bilinear map $\widehat{c}$ is continuous.*

Proof. Let $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N)$ and $\mu_1^n, \mu_2^n \in \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N)$ $(n = 1, 2, \dots)$ such that $\mu_i^n \to \mu_i$ $(n\to\infty)$ $(i = 1, 2)$. We prove that $\widehat{c}(\mu_1^n,\mu_2^n)\to\widehat{c}(\mu_1,\mu_2)$ $(n\to\infty)$.

Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $V\colon \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N)\to\mathbb{R}_{\ge 0}$ is continuous, $V(\mu_i^n)\to V(\mu_i)$ $(n\to\infty)$, and so we set

$$M = \frac{3}{\varepsilon}\sup\{V(\mu_1^n)V(\mu_2^n)\mid n = 1, 2, \dots\}(<\infty).$$

Take a positive integer $L \geq M$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
&L \sum_{m=L}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{[T]\in\widehat{\mathrm{Sub}}(X,\mathrm{id})/F_N \\ \#V(T)=m}} \mu_1^n \times \mu_2^n(\mathcal{R}(T)) \\
\leq &\sum_{m=L}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{[T]\in\widehat{\mathrm{Sub}}(X,\mathrm{id})/F_N \\ \#V(T)=m}} m\mu_1^n \times \mu_2^n(\mathcal{R}(T)) \\
\leq &\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{[T]\in\widehat{\mathrm{Sub}}(X,\mathrm{id})/F_N \\ \#V(T)=m}} m\mu_1^n \times \mu_2^n(\mathcal{R}(T)) \\
= &\sum_{T\in\widehat{\mathrm{Sub}}(X,\mathrm{id})} \#V(T)\mu_1^n \times \mu_2^n(\mathcal{R}(T)) \\
\leq &V(\mu_1^n)V(\mu_2^n).
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{m=L}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{[T]\in\widehat{\mathrm{Sub}}(X,\mathrm{id})/F_N \\ \#V(T)=m}} \mu_1^n \times \mu_2^n(\mathcal{R}(T)) \\
\leq &\frac{1}{L}V(\mu_1^n)V(\mu_2^n) \leq \frac{1}{M}V(\mu_1^n)V(\mu_2^n) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \quad (n = 1, 2 \dots).
\end{aligned}$$

In the same way, we have

$$\sum_{m=L}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{[T]\in\widehat{\mathrm{Sub}}(X,\mathrm{id})/F_N \\ \#V(T)=m}} \mu_1 \times \mu_2(\mathcal{R}(T)) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3}.$$

Since

$$\sum_{m=1}^{L-1} \sum_{\substack{[T]\in\widehat{\mathrm{Sub}}(X,\mathrm{id})/F_N \\ \#V(T)=m}} \mu_1^n \times \mu_2^n(\mathcal{R}(T))$$

is a finite sum, this converges to

$$\sum_{m=1}^{L-1} \sum_{\substack{[T]\in\widehat{\mathrm{Sub}}(X,\mathrm{id})/F_N \\ \#V(T)=m}} \mu_1 \times \mu_2(\mathcal{R}(T))$$

when $n \to \infty$. If n is large enough, then the absolute value of the difference of the above two sums is smaller than $\varepsilon/3$. Hence,

$$|\widehat{c}(\mu_1^n, \mu_2^n) - \widehat{c}(\mu_1, \mu_2)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} = \varepsilon.$$

This completes the proof. □

Proof of Theorem 3.2. We define the $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$-bilinear functional

$$\mathcal{N} \colon \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N) \times \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N) \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$$

as

$$\mathcal{N}(\mu,\nu) := \widehat{E}(\mu,\nu) - \widehat{V}(\mu,\nu) + \widehat{c}(\mu,\nu)$$

for $\mu,\nu \in \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N)$. Then $\mathcal{N}$ is a continuous symmetric $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$-bilinear functional, and we have $\mathcal{N}(\eta_H,\eta_K) = \mathcal{N}(H,K)$ for $H,K \in \mathrm{Sub}(F_N)$. The uniqueness and $\mathrm{Out}(F_N)$-invariance of $\mathcal{N}$ follows immediately from the denseness of the rational subset currents in $\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N)$. □

Now we recall the inequality in the SHNC (Theorem 3.1). Since the rational subset currents are dense in $\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N)$, and

$$\overline{\mathrm{rk}} \times \overline{\mathrm{rk}} : \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N) \times \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N) \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0};\ (\mu,\nu) \mapsto \overline{\mathrm{rk}}(\mu)\overline{\mathrm{rk}}(\nu)$$

is a continuous $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$-bilinear map, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.12. *Let $\mu,\nu \in \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N)$. The following inequality holds:*

$$\mathcal{N}(\mu,\nu) \leq \overline{\mathrm{rk}}(\mu)\overline{\mathrm{rk}}(\nu).$$

4. The intersection map and the intersection functional

Let I be the *intersection map*:

$$I\colon \mathfrak{C}_N \times \mathfrak{C}_N \to \{\text{closed subsets of } \partial X\};\ (S_1,S_2) \mapsto S_1 \cap S_2.$$

For $\mu,\nu \in \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N)$, the intersection map I induces a Borel measure $I_*(\mu\times\nu)$ on $\mathfrak{C}_N$ by pushing forward: for a Borel subset $U \subset \mathfrak{C}_N$, we define

$$I_*(\mu\times\nu)(U) := \mu\times\nu(I^{-1}(U)).$$

Note that it is not trivial that $I^{-1}(U)$ is a measurable set of $\mu\times\nu$ (see Appendix A). The Borel measure $I_*(\mu\times\nu)$ is a subset current on F_N, that is, an F_N-invariant locally finite Borel measure on $\mathfrak{C}_N$. When we consider the diagonal action of F_N on $\mathfrak{C}_N \times \mathfrak{C}_N$, the product measure $\mu\times\nu$ is F_N-invariant. Therefore, $I_*(\mu\times\nu)$ is also an F_N-invariant positive Borel measure.

Next, we check the local finiteness of $I_*(\mu\times\nu)$. For every $T \in \mathrm{Sub}(X,\mathrm{id})$

$$\begin{aligned} I^{-1}(\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(T)) &\subset \{(S_1,S_2) \in \mathfrak{C}\times\mathfrak{C} \mid \mathrm{Conv}(S_1),\mathrm{Conv}(S_2) \supset \mathrm{id}\} \\ &= \bigsqcup_{T_1,T_2\in\mathcal{R}_1} \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(T_1)\times\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(T_2). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_*(\mu\times\nu)(\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(T)) &= \mu\times\nu(I^{-1}(\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(T))) \\ &\leq \mu\times\nu\left(\bigsqcup_{T_1,T_2\in\mathcal{R}_1} \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(T_1)\times\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(T_2)\right) \\ &= V(\mu)V(\nu) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $I_*(\mu\times\nu)$ is locally finite.

We define the $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$-bilinear map

$$\widehat{I}\colon \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N)\times\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N) \to \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N),$$

as

$$\widehat{I}(\mu,\nu) := I_*(\mu\times\eta).$$

For $H, K \in \mathrm{Sub}(F_N)$ and any Borel subset $U \subset \mathfrak{C}_N$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\widehat{I}(\eta_H, \eta_K)(U)\\
=&\eta_H \times \eta_K(I^{-1}(U))\\
=&\sum_{(g_1H, g_2K) \in F_N/H \times F_N/K} \delta_{g_1\Lambda(H)} \times \delta_{g_2\Lambda(K)}(I^{-1}(U))\\
=&\sum_{(g_1H, g_2K) \in F_N/H \times F_N/K} \delta_{g_1\Lambda(H) \cap g_2\Lambda(K)}(U).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have the following explicit description of $\widehat{I}(\eta_H, \eta_K)$:

$$\widehat{I}(\eta_H, \eta_K) = \sum_{(g_1H, g_2K) \in F_N/H \times F_N/K} \delta_{g_1\Lambda(H) \cap g_2\Lambda(K)}.$$

Since $\Lambda(H' \cap K') = \Lambda(H') \cap \Lambda(K')$ for any $H', K' \in \mathrm{Sub}(F_N)$, we have

$$\widehat{I}(\eta_H, \eta_K) = \sum_{(g_1H, g_2K) \in F_N/H \times F_N/K} \delta_{\Lambda(g_1Hg_1^{-1} \cap g_2Kg_2^{-1})}.$$

Theorem 4.1. *For $H, K \in \mathrm{Sub}(F_N)$ we have*

$$\widehat{I}(\eta_H, \eta_K) = \sum_{HgK \in H\backslash F_N/K} \eta_{H \cap gKg^{-1}}.$$

Hence,

$$\overline{\mathrm{rk}} \circ \widehat{I}(\eta_H, \eta_K) = \mathcal{N}(\eta_H, \eta_K) \ (H, K \in \mathrm{Sub}(F_N)).$$

Proof. Let $H, K \in \mathrm{Sub}(F_N)$. We denote by $F_N\backslash(F_N/H \times F_N/K)$ the quotient set of $F_N/H \times F_N/K$ by the natural diagonal action of F_N. Then, we have the bijective map:

$$F_N\backslash(F_N/H \times F_N/K) \to H\backslash F_N/K;\ [g_1H, g_2K] \mapsto Hg_1^{-1}g_2K.$$

Denote H^g to be gHg^{-1} for $g \in F_N$. Then for each $[g_1H, g_2K] \in F_N\backslash(F_N/H \times F_N/K)$ with fixed g_1 and g_2 we have a bijective map:

$$[g_1H, g_2K] \to F_N/(H^{g_1} \cap K^{g_2});\ (gg_1H, gg_2K) \mapsto g(H^{g_1} \cap K^{g_2}).$$

For $(g_1'H, g_2'K) \in [g_1H, g_2K]$ we can see that $H^{g_1'} \cap K^{g_2'}$ is conjugate to $H^{g_1} \cap K^{g_2}$. Therefore $\eta_{H^{g_1} \cap K^{g_2}}$ does not depend on the choice of g_1 and g_2. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\widehat{I}(\eta_H, \eta_K)\\
=&\sum_{(g_1H, g_2K) \in F_N/H \times F_N/K} \delta_{\Lambda(H^{g_1} \cap K^{g_2})}\\
=&\sum_{[g_1H, g_2K] \in F_N\backslash(F_N/H \times F_N/K)} \ \sum_{(gg_1H, gg_2K) \in [g_1H, g_2K]} \delta_{g\Lambda(H^{g_1} \cap K^{g_2})}\\
=&\sum_{[g_1H, g_2K] \in F_N\backslash(F_N/H \times F_N/K)} \ \sum_{g(H^{g_1} \cap K^{g_2}) \in F_N/(H^{g_1} \cap K^{g_2})} \delta_{g\Lambda(H^{g_1} \cap K^{g_2})}\\
=&\sum_{[g_1H, g_2K] \in F_N\backslash(F_N/H \times F_N/K)} \eta_{H^{g_1} \cap K^{g_2}}\\
=&\sum_{HgK \in H\backslash F_N/K} \eta_{H \cap gKg^{-1}},
\end{aligned}$$

as required. □

Note that from Proposition 3.3, the map $\widehat{I}$ is not continuous. However, we can establish the following theorem. From Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.2 we can think of $\widehat{I}$ as a generalization of the construction of the fiber product graph, which we considered in the beginning of Section 3. One of the points of $\widehat{I}$ is that we do not use the Cayley graph X in the definition of $\widehat{I}$.

Theorem 4.2. *The following equality holds:*

$$\overline{\mathrm{rk}} \circ \widehat{I} = \mathcal{N}.$$

Proof. Let $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Curr}(F_N)$. We prove the above equality by representing $\overline{\mathrm{rk}} \circ \widehat{I}(\mu, \nu)$ and $\mathcal{N}$ explicitly. Most parts of this proof consist of technical calculations.

First, by the definition of $\overline{\mathrm{rk}}$ and $\widehat{I}$,

$$\overline{\mathrm{rk}} \circ \widehat{I}(\mu, \nu) = \sum_{a \in A} \mu \times \nu\Big(I^{-1}(\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(e_a))\Big) - \mu \times \nu \left(I^{-1} \left(\bigsqcup_{T \in \mathcal{R}_1} \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(T) \right) \right).$$

For any $(S_1, S_2) \in I^{-1}(\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(e_a))$, we have

$$e_a \subset \mathrm{Conv}(S_1 \cap S_2) \subset \mathrm{Conv}(S_1) \cap \mathrm{Conv}(S_2),$$

that is, $e_a \underset{\mathrm{ext}}{\subset} \mathrm{Conv}(S_1)$ and $e_a \underset{\mathrm{ext}}{\subset} \mathrm{Conv}(S_2)$. Consequently,

$$I^{-1}(\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(e_a)) \subset \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(e_a) \times \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(e_a).$$

Similarly, for any $(S_1, S_2) \in I^{-1}(\bigsqcup_{T \in \mathcal{R}_1} \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(T))$ we have $\mathrm{id} \in \mathrm{Conv}(S_i)$ $(i = 1, 2)$, which implies that

$$I^{-1} \left(\bigsqcup_{T \in \mathcal{R}_1} \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(T) \right) \subset \bigsqcup_{T_1, T_2 \in \mathcal{R}_1} \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(T_1) \times \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(T_2).$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\overline{\mathrm{rk}} \circ \widehat{I}(\mu, \nu) \\
(1) \quad &= \sum_{a \in A} \mu \times \nu(\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(e_a) \times \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(e_a)) \\
(2) \quad &- \sum_{T_1, T_2 \in \mathcal{R}_1} \mu \times \nu(\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(T_1) \times \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(T_2)) \\
(3) \quad &- \sum_{a \in A} \mu \times \nu\Big(\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(e_a) \times \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(e_a) \setminus I^{-1}(\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(e_a))\Big) \\
(4) \quad &+ \mu \times \nu \left(\bigsqcup_{T_1, T_2 \in \mathcal{R}_1} \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(T_1) \times \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(T_2) \setminus I^{-1} \left(\bigsqcup_{T \in \mathcal{R}_1} \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(T) \right) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Here each number from (1) to (4) represents a term of the equation, and we have $(1) = \widehat{E}(\mu, \nu)$ and $(2) = -\widehat{V}(\mu, \nu)$. Hence it suffices to show that $(3) + (4) = \widehat{c}(\mu, \nu)$.

Step 1: First, we consider (3). Let $(S_1, S_2) \in \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(e_a) \times \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(e_a)$. By the definition of subset cylinders, (S_1, S_2) does not belong to $I^{-1}(\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(e_a))$ if and only if either $S_1 \cap S_2 = \emptyset$, or $S_1 \cap S_2 \neq \emptyset$ and $S_1 \cap S_2 \subset \mathrm{Cyl}(e_a)$ or $S_1 \cap S_2 \subset \mathrm{Cyl}((e_a)^{-1})$, where we endow e_a with the orientation such that $o(e_a) = \mathrm{id}, t(e_a) = a$, and $(e_a)^{-1}$ is the inverse of e_a. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big(\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(e_a)\times\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(e_a)\setminus I^{-1}(\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(e_a))\Big)\cap I^{-1}(\{\emptyset\})\\
=&\Big(\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(e_a)\times\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(e_a)\Big)\cap I^{-1}(\{\emptyset\})\\
=&\bigsqcup_{\substack{T\in\mathrm{Sub}(X,\mathrm{id})\\ T\supset e_a}}\mathcal{R}(T).
\end{aligned}$$

For $a\in A\cup A^{-1}$ put

$$U(a):=\Big(\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(e_a)\times\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(e_a)\setminus I^{-1}(\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(e_a))\Big)\setminus I^{-1}(\{\emptyset\}),$$

where e_a ($a\in A\cup A^{-1}$) is the oriented edge with origin id and terminal a in X. We will use $U(a)$ ($a\in A^{-1}$) later. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
-(3)=&\sum_{a\in A}\mu\times\nu\Big(\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(e_a)\times\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(e_a)\setminus I^{-1}(\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(e_a))\Big)\\
=&\sum_{a\in A}\mu\times\nu\Big(\Big(\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(e_a)\times\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(e_a)\setminus I^{-1}(\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(e_a))\Big)\cap I^{-1}(\{\emptyset\})\Big)\\
&+\sum_{a\in A}\mu\times\nu(U(a))\\
=&\sum_{a\in A}\sum_{\substack{T\in\mathrm{Sub}(X,\mathrm{id})\\ T\supset e_a}}\mu\times\nu(\mathcal{R}(T))+\sum_{a\in A}\mu\times\nu(U(a))\\
=&\sum_{[T]\in\widehat{\mathrm{Sub}}(X,\mathrm{id})/F_N}\#E_{\mathrm{top}}(T)\mu\times\nu(\mathcal{R}(T))+\sum_{a\in A}\mu\times\nu(U(a)).
\end{aligned}\tag{5}$$

Step 2: Next, we consider (4) in a similar way. First, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\bigsqcup_{T_1,T_2\in\mathcal{R}_1}\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(T_1)\times\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(T_2)\setminus I^{-1}\left(\bigsqcup_{T\in\mathcal{R}_1}\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(T)\right)\right)\cap I^{-1}(\{\emptyset\})\\
=&\left(\bigsqcup_{T_1,T_2\in\mathcal{R}_1}\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(T_1)\times\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(T_2)\right)\cap I^{-1}(\{\emptyset\})\\
=&\bigsqcup_{T\in\widehat{\mathrm{Sub}}(X,\mathrm{id})}\mathcal{R}(T).
\end{aligned}$$

Put

$$U:=\left(\bigsqcup_{T_1,T_2\in\mathcal{R}_1}\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(T_1)\times\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(T_2)\setminus I^{-1}\left(\bigsqcup_{T\in\mathcal{R}_1}\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(T)\right)\right)\setminus I^{-1}(\{\emptyset\}).$$

Then,

$$(4) = \mu\times\nu\left(\bigsqcup_{T\in\widehat{\mathrm{Sub}}(X,\mathrm{id})}\mathcal{R}(T)\right)+\mu\times\nu(U)$$

$$(6) = \sum_{[T]\in\widehat{\mathrm{Sub}}(X,\mathrm{id})/F_N}\#V(T)\mu\times\nu(\mathcal{R}(T))+\mu\times\nu(U).$$

Step 3: From the equation (5) and (6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\mathrm{rk}}\circ\widehat{I}(\mu,\nu) =&\widehat{E}(\mu,\nu)-\widehat{V}(\mu,\nu)\\ &-\sum_{[T]\in\widehat{\mathrm{Sub}}(X,\mathrm{id})/F_N}\#E_{\mathrm{top}}(T)\mu\times\nu(\mathcal{R}(T))-\sum_{a\in A}\mu\times\nu(U(a))\\ &+\sum_{[T]\in\widehat{\mathrm{Sub}}(X,\mathrm{id})/F_N}\#V(T)\mu\times\nu(\mathcal{R}(T))+\mu\times\nu(U).\end{aligned}$$

Since for any $T\in\widehat{\mathrm{Sub}}(X,\mathrm{id})$ we have $\#V(T)-\#E(T)=\chi(T)=1$,

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\mathrm{rk}}\circ\widehat{I}(\mu,\nu) =&\widehat{E}(\mu,\nu)-\widehat{V}(\mu,\nu)\\ &+\sum_{[T]\in\widehat{\mathrm{Sub}}(X,\mathrm{id})/F_N}\mu\times\nu(\mathcal{R}(T))+\mu\times\nu(U)-\sum_{a\in A}\mu\times\nu(U(a))\\ =&\widehat{E}(\mu,\nu)-\widehat{V}(\mu,\nu)\\ &+\widehat{c}(\mu,\nu)+\mu\times\nu(U)-\sum_{a\in A}\mu\times\nu(U(a))\\ =&\mathcal{N}(\mu,\nu)+\mu\times\nu(U)-\sum_{a\in A}\mu\times\nu(U(a)).\end{aligned}$$

Now, we show that $\mu\times\nu(U)=\sum_{a\in A}\mu\times\nu(U(a))$. By the definition of $U(a)$ ($a\in A$), for any $(S_1,S_2)\in U(a)$ we have $S_1\cap S_2\neq\emptyset$ and either $S_1\cap S_2\subset\mathrm{Cyl}\,(e_a)$ or $S_1\cap S_2\subset\mathrm{Cyl}((e_a)^{-1})$. For $e\in E(X)$ we set

$$\mathcal{H}(e):=\{S\subset\partial X\mid S\text{ is closed and }S\subset\mathrm{Cyl}(e)\}.$$

Then

$$U(a)=\Big(U(a)\cap I^{-1}(\mathcal{H}(e_a))\Big)\sqcup\Big(U(a)\cap I^{-1}(\mathcal{H}((e_a)^{-1}))\Big)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}a^{-1}U(a) =&a^{-1}\Big(\Big(\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(e_a)\times\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(e_a)\setminus I^{-1}(\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(e_a))\Big)\setminus I^{-1}(\{\emptyset\})\Big)\\ =&\Big(\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(e_{a^{-1}})\times\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(e_{a^{-1}})\setminus I^{-1}(\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(e_{a^{-1}}))\Big)\setminus I^{-1}(\{\emptyset\})\\ =&U(a^{-1}).\end{aligned}$$

In addition, for $a\in A$ we have

$$a^{-1}I^{-1}\big(\mathcal{H}((e_a)^{-1})\big)=I^{-1}\big(\mathcal{H}(e_{a^{-1}})\big),$$

and so

$$a^{-1}\Big(U(a)\cap I^{-1}(\mathcal{H}((e_a)^{-1}))\Big)=U(a^{-1})\cap I^{-1}(\mathcal{H}(e_{a^{-1}})).$$

Since $\mu \times \nu$ is F_N-invariant with respect to the diagonal action of F_N on $\mathfrak{C}_N \times \mathfrak{C}_N$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{a\in A} \mu\times\nu(U(a))\\
=&\sum_{a\in A}\left\{\mu\times\nu\Big(U(a)\cap I^{-1}(\mathcal{H}(e_a))\Big)+\mu\times\nu\Big(U(a)\cap I^{-1}(\mathcal{H}((e_a)^{-1}))\Big)\right\}\\
=&\sum_{a\in A}\left\{\mu\times\nu\Big(U(a)\cap I^{-1}(\mathcal{H}(e_a))\Big)+\mu\times\nu\Big(U(a^{-1})\cap I^{-1}(\mathcal{H}(e_{a^{-1}}))\Big)\right\}\\
=&\sum_{a\in A\cup A^{-1}}\mu\times\nu\Big(U(a)\cap I^{-1}(\mathcal{H}(e_a))\Big)\\
=&\mu\times\nu\left(\bigsqcup_{a\in A\cup A^{-1}} U(a)\cap I^{-1}(\mathcal{H}(e_a))\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where we note that if $a, a' \in A \cup A^{-1}$ and $a \neq a'$, then $\mathcal{H}(e_a) \cap \mathcal{H}(e_{a'}) = \emptyset$. Now it suffices to show that the following equality holds:

$$\bigsqcup_{a\in A\cup A^{-1}} U(a)\cap I^{-1}(\mathcal{H}(e_a)) = U.$$

The key claim for this equality is that for a given $S \in \mathfrak{C}_N$, $\mathrm{Conv}(S) \not\ni \mathrm{id}$ if and only if there exists $a \in A \cup A^{-1}$ such that $S \subset \mathrm{Cyl}(e_a)$.

Take $(S_1, S_2) \in U(a) \cap I^{-1}(\mathcal{H}(e_a))$ $(a \in A \cup A^{-1})$. Since $\mathrm{Conv}(S_i) \supset e_a$, there exists $T_i \in \mathcal{R}_1$ such that $S_i \in \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(T_i)$ $(i = 1, 2)$. In addition, $S_1 \cap S_2 \neq \emptyset$. Also, $S_1 \cap S_2 \subset \mathrm{Cyl}(e_a)$ implies that $\mathrm{Conv}(S_1 \cap S_2) \not\ni \mathrm{id}$, namely $S_1 \cap S_2 \notin \bigsqcup_{T\in\mathcal{R}_1} \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(T)$. Therefore $S_1 \cap S_2 \in U$.

Take $(S_1, S_2) \in U$. Then $S_1 \cap S_2 \neq \emptyset$ and $S_1 \cap S_2 \notin \bigsqcup_{T\in\mathcal{R}_1} \mathcal{S}\mathrm{Cyl}(T)$, and so there exists $a \in A \cup A^{-1}$ such that $S_1 \cap S_2 \subset \mathrm{Cyl}\ (e_a)$. Since $\mathrm{Conv}(S_i) \ni \mathrm{id}$, we have $\mathrm{Conv}(S_i) \supset e_a$. Therefore we obtain $(S_1, S_2) \in U(a) \cap I^{-1}(\mathcal{H}(e_a))$. □

Appendix A.

The purpose of this appendix is to show that for any Borel subset $U \subset \mathfrak{C}_N$ the preimage $I^{-1}(U)$ of the intersection map I is a measurable set of a product measure of two Borel measures on $\mathfrak{C}_N$. We prove this in a general setting.

The notation in this appendix is different from that in the main text of this paper.

Let X be a compact metrizable space. Then we see that X is second countable. Fix a countable basis $\{U_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of X. Let $\mathfrak{C}$ be the set of all closed (compact) subsets of X. We provide $\mathfrak{C}$ with the Vietoris topology, which has the sub-basis consisting of all sets of the forms

$$[U]_{\subset} := \{K \in \mathfrak{C} \mid K \subset U\}$$

and

$$[U]_{\neq\emptyset} := \{K \in \mathfrak{C} \mid K \cap U \neq \emptyset\},$$

where U is an open subset of X. See [Kec95] for details of the Vietoris topology. Since $[\emptyset]_{\subset} = \{\emptyset\}$, $\{\emptyset\}$ is an isolated point of $\mathfrak{C}$. The topology of the subspace $\mathfrak{C} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ coincides with the topology induced by the Hausdorff metric when we give a distance on X which is compatible with the topology of X. Moreover, we can see

that $\mathfrak{C}$ is compact. Therefore $\mathfrak{C} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ is a compact metrizable space, which implies that $\mathfrak{C} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ is second countable, and so is $\mathfrak{C}$.

Let O_X be the set of all open subsets of X and $\mathcal{O}$ the set of all open subsets of $\mathfrak{C}$. Then the σ-algebra $\sigma(\mathcal{O})$ generated by $\mathcal{O}$ is the set of all Borel subsets of $\mathfrak{C}$.

Now, we consider the intersection map

$$I \colon \mathfrak{C} \times \mathfrak{C} \to \mathfrak{C};\ (K_1, K_2) \mapsto K_1 \cap K_2.$$

The goal of this appendix is to prove the following proposition.

Proposition A.1. *The intersection map I is a Borel map, which means that for any Borel subset $S \subset \mathfrak{C}$ the preimage $I^{-1}(S)$ is a Borel subset of $\mathfrak{C} \times \mathfrak{C}$.*

Note that a measurable set of a product measure of two Borel measures on $\mathfrak{C}$ is an element of the σ-algebra generated by the set

$$\sigma(\mathcal{O}) \times \sigma(\mathcal{O}) := \{U_1 \times U_2 \mid U_1, U_2 \in \sigma(\mathcal{O})\}.$$

Since $\mathfrak{C}$ is a second countable space, the σ-algebra generated by $\sigma(\mathcal{O}) \times \sigma(\mathcal{O})$ coincides with the σ-algebra generated by the set of all open subsets of $\mathfrak{C} \times \mathfrak{C}$.

To prove the above proposition we prepare a "good" generating set of $\sigma(\mathcal{O})$ as a σ-algebra, and it suffices to show that $I^{-1}(U)$ is a Borel subset of $\mathfrak{C} \times \mathfrak{C}$ for U belonging to the "good" generating set of $\sigma(\mathcal{O})$. First, since $\mathfrak{C}$ is a second countable space, the sub-basis

$$\{[U]_{\subset} \mid U \in O_X\} \cup \{[U]_{\neq\emptyset} \mid U \in O_X\}$$

is a generating set of $\sigma(\mathcal{O})$.

From now on, we assume that the countable basis $\{U_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of X is closed under finite union, that is, $\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} V_i \in \{U_n \mid n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ for any $V_1, \dots, V_k \in \{U_n \mid n = 1, 2, \dots\}$.

Lemma A.2. *The set $\{[U]_{\neq\emptyset} \mid U \in O_X\}$ is a generating set of $\sigma(\mathcal{O})$.*

Proof. Take any $U \in O_X$. It suffices to show that $[U]_{\subset}$ belongs to the σ-algebra generated by the above set. For $U \in O_X$ and $K \in \mathfrak{C}$ we can see that K belongs to $[U]_{\subset}$ if and only if there exists U_n such that $U^c \subset U_n$ and $K \cap U_n = \emptyset$. The "if " part is obvious. We prove the "only if" part. For any $p \in U^c$ there exists U_{n_p} such that $p \in U_{n_p}$ and $U_{n_p} \cap K = \emptyset$. Since U^c is compact, there exist $p_1, \dots p_m \in U^c$ such that $U \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} U_{n_{p_i}}$ and $(\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} U_{n_{p_i}}) \cap K = \emptyset$. Hence there exists U_n such that $U^c \subset U_n$ and $U_n \cap K = \emptyset$. From the above equivalence, we obtain

$$[U]_{\subset} = \bigcup_{U^c \subset U_n} \{K \in \mathfrak{C} \mid K \cap U_n = \emptyset\} = \bigcup_{U^c \subset U_n} \left([U_n]_{\neq\emptyset}\right)^c,$$

as required. □

For a compact subset $A \subset X$, set $[A]_{\neq\emptyset} := \{K \in \mathfrak{C} \mid K \cap A \neq \emptyset\}$.

Lemma A.3. *The set $\{[A]_{\neq\emptyset} \mid A \subset X : \text{compact}\}$ is a generating set of $\sigma(\mathcal{O})$.*

Proof. First, note that for any compact subset $A \subset X$, we have

$$[A]_{\neq\emptyset} = \bigcap_{A \subset U_n} [U_n]_{\neq\emptyset}.$$

Here there is U_n containing A since $\{U_n\}$ is closed under a finite union. Hence $[A]_{\neq\emptyset}$ belongs to $\sigma(\mathcal{O})$. For any $U \in O_X$ by taking a sequence of compact subsets $\{K_n\}$ of X such that $U = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n$, we have

$$[U]_{\neq\emptyset} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [K]_{\neq\emptyset},$$

as required. □

Proof of Proposition A.1. Take any compact subset A of X. We show that the preimage $I^{-1}([A]_{\neq\emptyset})$ belongs to the σ-algebra generated by $\sigma(\mathcal{O}) \times \sigma(\mathcal{O})$.

For each positive integer n, take $p_n \in U_n$. Since X is a metrizable space, we can define a distance function d on X which is compatible with the topology of X. For $x \in X$ and $r \geq 0$ we set

$$B(x, r) := \{y \in X \mid d(y, x) \leq r\}.$$

Take $(K_1, K_2) \in \mathfrak{C} \times \mathfrak{C}$. We show that (K_1, K_2) belongs to $I^{-1}([A]_{\neq\emptyset})$ if and only if for any positive integer k there exists p_n such that

$$K_i \cap A \cap B(p_n, \frac{1}{k}) \neq \emptyset \quad (i = 1, 2).$$

First, we prove the "only if" part. Since $K_1 \cap K_2 \cap A \neq \emptyset$, take $p \in K_1 \cap K_2 \cap A$ and take a subsequence $\{p_{j_n}\}$ of $\{p_n\}$ such that $\{p_{j_n}\}$ converges to p. Then for any positive integer k there exists p_{j_n} satisfying the above condition.

Next, we prove the "if" part by contradiction. Assume that $K_1 \cap K_2 \cap A = \emptyset$. Then there exists a positive integer k such that

$$\frac{1}{k} < \frac{1}{2} d(K_1 \cap A, K_2 \cap A).$$

From the assumption there exists p_n such that

$$K_i \cap A \cap B(p_n, \frac{1}{k}) \neq \emptyset \quad (i = 1, 2).$$

Hence, we can take $a_i \in K_i \cap A$ such that $d(a_i, p_n) \leq 1/k$ $(i = 1, 2)$. Therefore,

$$d(a_1, a_2) \leq \frac{2}{k} < d(K_1 \cap A, K_2 \cap A),$$

which leads to a contradiction.

From the above, we have

$$I^{-1}([A]_{\neq\emptyset}) = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [A \cap B(p_n, \frac{1}{k})]_{\neq\emptyset} \times [A \cap B(p_n, \frac{1}{k})]_{\neq\emptyset} \right),$$

as required. □

References

[Kap06] I. Kapovich: *Currents on free groups*, Topological and Asymptotic Aspects of Group Theory (R. Grigorchuk, M. Mihalik, M. Sapir and Z. Sunik, Eds.), AMS Contemporary Mathematics Series, vol. **394** (2006), pp. 149–176.

[Kap13] I. Kapovich: *An integral weight realization theorem for subset currents on free groups*, preprint, 2013, arXiv:1211.5836.

[KN13] I. Kapovich and T. Nagnibeda: *Subset currents on free groups*, Geom Dedicata **166** (2013), 307–348.

[Kec95] A.S. Kechris: *Classical descriptive set theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.

[Min12] I. Mineyev: *Submultiplicativity and the Hanna Neumann Conjecture*, Ann. of Math. (2) **175** (2012), 393–414.

[Neu90] W. D. Neumann: *On intersections of finitely generated subgroups of free groups*, in Groups—Canberra 1989, vol. **1456** of Lecture Notes in Math., Springer, Berlin, 1990, 161–170.

[Sta83] J. Stallings: *Topology of finite graphs*, Invent. Math. **71** (1983), 551–565.

Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Tokyo, Komaba 3-8-1, Meguro, Tokyo 153-8914, Japan

E-mail address: dsasaki@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp

Current address from April in 2014: Faculty of Science and Engineering, Waseda University, Okubo 3-4-1, Shinjuku, Tokyo 169-8555, Japan

E-mail address: dounnu-daigaku@moegi.waseda.jp